

**BEFORE THE SUPREME COURT COMMITTEE ON PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT
PANEL B**

**IN RE: PAUL FARRIS MILLER
ARKANSAS BAR No. 2012108
CPC DOCKET No. 2015-102**

ORDER OF RECIPROCAL DISCIPLINE

Paul Farris Miller is an attorney from Hot Springs, Arkansas, licensed in 2015 to practice law in the State of Arkansas, and assigned Arkansas Bar Number 2015-102. Mr. Miller was licensed 1989 to practice law in the State of Colorado, and assigned Colorado Attorney Registration Number 18925.

In September 2014, the People of the State of Colorado filed a disciplinary complaint against Mr. Miller alleging violations of Rules 1.7(a)(2), 1.8(a), and 8.4(c) of the Colorado Rules of Professional Conduct. Mr. Miller filed a timely answer to the complaint. A hearing was held on April 22 and 23, 2015, before the Colorado Supreme Court Hearing Board (“Hearing Board”) where Mr. Miller appeared, *pro se*. On July 10, 2015, the Hearing Board issued an opinion imposing a sanction of suspension of Mr. Miller’s Colorado license to practice law for a period of six months for violation of Rules 1.7(a)(2), 1.8(a), and 8.4(c) of the Colorado Rules of Professional Conduct. The opinion became effective on August 14, 2015. Mr. Miller reported the matter to the Office of Professional Conduct on August 19, 2015.

Section 14 of the Procedures of the Arkansas Supreme Court Regulating Professional Conduct of Attorneys at Law (“Procedures”) provides the procedure for licenses of attorneys who have been disbarred, suspended, or transferred to disability inactive status by another state or federal court or a corresponding disciplinary authority of another jurisdiction. On September 9, 2015, the Office of Professional Conduct filed a Complaint for Reciprocal Discipline and

served the complaint upon Mr. Miller. Mr. Miller filed a timely response to the complaint and set forth his claim, pursuant to §14.F(3) of the Procedures that there was such infirmity of proof establishing the misconduct as to give rise to the clear conviction that the Panel could not, consistent with its duty, accept as final the conclusion on that subject. The Office of Professional Conduct filed a Response to the Claim of Respondent and Mr. Miller filed a Reply to the Response of the OPC.

The Complaint for Reciprocal Discipline, the Response by Mr. Miller, the Response from the OPC, and the Reply from Mr. Miller were submitted to Panel B of the Committee on Professional Conduct. §14.F of the Procedures states that the Panel shall impose the identical disbarment, suspension, or transfer to disability inactive status, unless the Panel finds certain elements are present. The Panel found that none of the elements listed in §14.F of the Procedures were present and, therefore, imposes an identical six-month suspension of Mr. Miller's Arkansas license.

WHEREFORE, it is the decision and order of the Arkansas Supreme Court Committee on Professional Conduct, acting through its authorized Panel B, that PAUL FARRIS MILLER, Arkansas Bar No. 2012108, be, and hereby is, **SUSPENDED** from the practice of law for a period of **SIX MONTHS** for his conduct which led to a six-month suspension of his Colorado law license. The suspension shall become effective on the date of the filing of this Order.

It is so ordered.

ARKANSAS SUPREME COURT COMMITTEE
ON PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT - PANEL B

By:/s/ Niki Cung, Chairperson

Date: December 14, 2015

Original filed with the Arkansas Supreme Court
Clerk on December 17, 2015.